# UNIVERSITY SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION. CHALLENGES FOR THE TRAINING OF SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONALS

PEDRO SEVERINO-GONZÁLEZ, DOLORES GALLARDO-VÁZQUEZ, HÉCTOR SALDÍA-BARAHONA, TOMY VILLANUEVA-AREQUIPEÑO, GIUSSEPPE SARMIENTO-PERALTA AND JOSÉ DE JESÚS ROMERO-ARGUETA

### SUMMARY

The study of university social responsibility (USR) shows the link that values-based education has in the training of professionals committed to the needs of society, which includes the challenges of sustainability, the third mission of universities, and responsibility territorial social. The aforementioned means that Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) must apply educational innovations based on the tensions of

modernity. The objective of this work is to analyze various challenges that higher education presents from the perspective of institutional policies as an articulator of strategies that contribute to the training of socially responsible professionals. In this regard, some values are proposed to articulate efforts that contribute to university management, USR education, and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

#### Introduction

niversity social responsibility (USR) is a dynamic, flexible and integrative management model of wills, needs and interests that involves all educational actors and compromises the substantive functions of the Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) through its various areas of impact (Huang and Do, 2021; Acuña-Moraga *et al.*, 2022). Thus, USR transcends the exclusive generation and dissemination of knowledge since it requires the development of concrete actions in favor of the entire educational community. Likewise, these actions are intended to respond to the requirements of the stakeholders that make up the educational communities (Ali *et al.*, 2021). Also, its approach in the last 10 years has shown great requirement on the part of researchers, academics, and professors

### KEYWORDS / Environmental Education / Higher Education / Sustainability / University / University Social Responsibility /

Received: 10/05/2023. Modified: 02/19/2024. Accepted: 02/20/2024.

Pedro Severino-González (Corresponding Author). Graduate in Administrative Sciences, Commercial Engineer and Master in Business Administration, Universidad del Bio-Bío (UBB), Chile. Professor, Universidad Católica del Maule (UCM), Chile. Address: Department of Economics and Administration, Faculty of Social and Economic Sciences, UCM. Av. San Miguel 3605, Talca, Chile. e-mail: pseverino@ucm.cl.

**Dolores Gallardo-Vázquez**. Degree in Economics and Business Administration. Universidad de Sevilla, Spain. PhD in Economics and Business Administration. Universidad de Extremadura (UEX), Spain. Professor of Financial Economics and Accounting, Department of Financial Economics and Accounting, UEX, Spain.

Héctor Saldía-Barahona. Accountant, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Commercial Engineer, Universidad de Tarapacá, Chile. Master in Business Administration, UBioBio. PhD in Management Sciences, Universidad de Santiago de Chile. Academician, UBioBio, Chile.

Tomy Villanueva-Arequipeño. Medical Surgeon, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos (UNMSM), Peru. Master in Business Administration, Universidad del Pacífico, Peru. Professor, UNMSM, Peru.

Giusseppe Sarmiento-Peralta. Graduate in Medical Technology and Master in Neurosciences, UNMSM, Peru. Research professor, UNMSM, Peru.

**José de Jesús Romero-Argueta**. Bachelor Degree in English Language, Universidad de Oriente, El Salvador, Master in Applied in Linguistics for Teaching English as a Foreign Language, Universidad Europea del Atlántico, Spain. Professor and Researcher, Universidad Tecnológica de El Salvador, El Salvador.

(Meseguer-Sánchez *et al.*, 2020; Flores-Fernandez *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, this has given rise to the constitution of four conceptual roots, such as the fundamental purpose of universities; corporate social responsibility; campus sustainability; and university-community commitment (Chen and Vanclay, 2021).

The study of USR has motivated the development of policies, models, tools, and methodologies that seek to consolidate a sustainable competitive advantage through plans and programs linked to the substantive functions of HEIs (Larrán and Andrades, 2017; Martí-Noguera and Gaete-Ouezada. 2019: Adel et al., 2021). These considerations seek to respond to the new demands underlying the climate crisis, social movements, population increase, population migration, and demands related to diversity and social inclusion (Coelho and Menezes, 2021; Severino-González et al., 2021; Ennes et al., 2021).

All of the above perceptions allow us to define that the USR is a dynamic and consensual management model that contributes to improving the quality of life of people in society since universities are organizations that are protagonists of social transformations (Severino-González et al.. 2023). Consequently, these institutions seek the development and care of the population and should be free of discrimination, marginalization, and segregation (Severo et al., 2021).

On the other hand, according to Fanea-Ivanovici and Baber (2022) and Lim (2022), sustainability and social responsibility have a close relationship due to the purposes that they pursue in society. Therefore, it is in this scenario, where sustainability is materialized through various USR policies and strategies that determine the formation of behaviors characterized by a high social and sustainable awareness (Ali et al., 2021; Usak et al., 2021; Carlotto et al., 2022). Indeed, such behavior is fertilized in environments conducive to the development of competencies linked to environmental education and social justice (Kioupi and Voulvoulis, 2019; Alonso et al., 2020; Hurlimann et al., 2021), being important the generation of models based on USR. Thus, in this context, ethical and sustainable management is present, characterized by being transversal, socially responsible, and consciously sustainable (Wided, 2020; Moghadam et al., 2021).

University students are actors who can be professionally trained in community service, which could evidence behaviors whose sustenance is found in correspondence, prosociality, solidarity, and respect (Ayala-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2019). Hence, this allows promoting through USR the principles of sustainability and social responsibility (García-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2021). Those that are promoted by supranational networks that seek to respond to the needs of society through training in civic engagement, empathy, and respect (García-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2021; Vallaeys *et al.*, 2022).

Consequently, there are several functions that emanate from the duty to be and duty to be of universities (Sharma and Sharma, 2019; Vallaevs and Álvarez, 2019). Henceforth, these materialize the various missions that universities have, such as the first mission established by training; the second mission that fertilizes research, and the third mission that generates social action or university extension (Kieżel et al., 2021; Rubio-Rodríguez and Blandón-López, 2021; Flores-Fernandez et al., 2022). In addition, these functions present links with the attitudes, behaviors, perceptions, preferences, and decisions made by each of the actors that integrate the educational communities (Ayala-Rodríguez et al., 2019; Acuña-Moraga et al., 2022). Besides, in this sense, the decision-making processes of universities should be characterized by sustainable and socially responsible acting, as they could effectively contribute to the installation of values such as empathy, respect, and solidarity (Martí-Noguera et al., 2018; Rababah et al., 2021; García-Gutiérrez et al., 2021). In summary, these values fertilize virtuous circles that generate shared value and well-being for all stakeholders, which respects differences and values human dignity (Ünal and Kaygın, 2020; Sarmiento-Peralta et al., 2021).

According to the research question of this study is: What are the challenges that higher education presents from the perspective of institutional policies as an articulator of strategies that contribute to the training of socially responsible professionals? Concerning the above, the objective of this study is to analyze various challenges that higher education presents from the perspective of institutional policies as an articulator of strategies that contribute to the training of socially responsible professionals.

Lastly, regarding the structure, the research problem is considered, where the importance of this study is evident. Second, the review of the literature is deepened, describing theoretical elements that allow a deeper understanding of the phenomenon under study, considered environmental education. Third, the mission of universities, territorial social responsibility (TSR), and integral education are theorized. Finally, the conclusions include the reflections and considerations of the work.

#### **Environmental Education and USR**

Environmental problems and the behavior of people in society have affected local and global communities (Reid et al., 2021), leading to various ecological crises (Kaukko et al., 2021). Furthermore, this has led to various initiatives and the development of prolific social movements. One of those movements is environmental education which seeks to promote good practices based on an environmental ethic in an era defined as anthropocene (Rousell, 2020). All the aforementioned elements raise an integral environmental education that involves diverse values and perspectives, in the search for an increasingly sustainable society (Kang and Hong, 2021). Similarly, this path to sustainability must be addressed by HEIs through their curricula and national and supranational policies. Eventually, these join the efforts and complexities of teachers from multiple areas, such as sciences, social sciences, and political sciences, among others (Sund and Gericke, 2020: Munkebye et al., 2020).

Pro-environmental education requires awareness and sensitization of environmental issues for the installation of ecological lifestyles (Pirchio et al., 2021). Additionally, it is necessary to include in the teaching processes the emotional needs of children, since they express to be concerned about the environment (Baker et al., 2021). The aforementioned makes evident the requirement to incorporate the needs of strategic groups and the challenges of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) at all educational levels (Vallaevs et al., 2022). Moreover, this contributes to the comprehensive education of future professionals, who can recognize in their decisions and practices their contributions to society by solving problems or meeting specific requirements (Romero-Argueta et al., 2020). After all, it is in this scenario where policies and strategies linked to USR emerge since universities must be able to respond to the shortcomings and demands expressed by society through their substantive functions (Larrán and Andrades, 2017; Zhou et al., 2021).

The multiple global, national, and local problems faced by society have led humanity to implement various policies and strategies. These include values such as social responsibility, justice, human rights, and global sustainability (Bosio and Schattle, 2023). Also, the aforementioned should motivate the constant search for co-responsibility and collective responsibility in scenarios where the common good is sought because of education for sustainability (Makrakis and Kostoulas-Makrakis, 2021). Therefore, this leads to ecological practices that are evidenced in pro-environmental behaviors (Pirchio et al., 2021). These activities possess relation with empathy, patience, support, respect, self-control, and solidarity (Severino-González et al., 2022a).

That is why the models. policies, and strategies of USR developed by HEIs should include environmental education according to the basic principles of transformative learning. Besides, it is necessary the incorporation of socioemotional competencies (Gómez-Olmedo et al., 2020), giving way to comprehensive training that includes values such as empathy, solidarity, friendship, respect, and (Solís-Espallargas compassion and Barreto-Tovar, 2020; Lochner, 2021). In addition, these values are in harmony with the SDGs (Saari and Mullen, 2020; Romero-Argueta et al., 2020). These are evidenced in research through instruments and tools that seek to demonstrate the importance of the necessary educational innovations surveyed through environmental perceptions and pro-environmental behaviors (Mónus, 2021).

# The SDGs and the Third Mission of Universities

The third mission of universities, also called service to society, university extension, substantive social function, social mission, or social commitment of higher education institutions (Jones et al., 2021; García-Gutiérrez and Corrales-Gaitero, 2021) has been addressed through the strategic planning of HEIs. This has included the SDGs of the UN Agenda 2030 (Martínez-Usarralde et al., 2017; Nardo et al., 2021). Likewise, this has been partially implemented by HEIs. Additionally, this should motivate the mobilization of capacities and resources (Escobar et al. 2021) as it is recognized that the SDGs contribute to the growth and prosperity of territories, society, and nature in general (Tetrevova et al., 2021; Rousell, 2020).

On the other hand, according to Jelinkova *et al.* (2021), sustainable development is based on social, environmental, and economic responsibilities (Suryandari *et al.*, 2021; O'Brien *et al.*, 2022). Also, these considerations endow HEIs with a closer relationship with each stakeholder, especially with the external stakeholders of the educational communities (Chan, 2021). This is given that sustainability strategies based on teaching and learning promote values in young students that raise the desire to contribute to society through professional practice (Severino-González *et al.*, 2019a), because of early involvement in social needs thanks to the implementation of educational policies that include issues present in the social fabric (Kee *et al.*, 2018; Santos *et al.*, 2021; Alm *et al.*, 2022).

Through USR policies and strategies, it is sought to meet the needs of stakeholders and must respond to the characteristics and particularities of the context (Vallaeys et al., 2022; Severino-González et al., 2022b). Moreover, this situation can be understood through a dynamic model of consensual management (Vallaeys et al., 2022). This model is supported by challenges that must be consistent with the singularities of the territory and the requirements of the communities (Ruiz-Mora and Cardona-Ramírez, 2020; al., Severino-González et 2022b). Furthermore, this contributes to the third mission of HEIs (Jones et al., 2021), materializing in actions linked to the SDGs. from which pro-environmental attitudes emerge (Bertossi and Marangon, 2022). Thus, to summarize, such attitudes are characterized by empathy and solidarity (Severino-González et al., 2022b). Those contribute to freedom and the development of citizen, caring, respectful, and empathetic behavior (García-Gutiérrez et al., 2021; Sarmiento-Peralta et al., 2021).

According to Leal et al. (2021), universities are implementing various policies and strategies that seek to install the SDGs in all substantive functions (Severino-González et al., 2022a; Gómez-Marín et al., 2022). At the same time, these involve hard and systematic work of cooperation, assistance, and solidarity among internal actors and external strategic partners of the educational communities (Petrova et al., 2020). Besides, this teamwork aims to meet the needs of the population through solidarity practices (Coelho and Menezes, 2020). Similarly, it is in this scenario, where situated and contextualized research is fundamental for the construction of relevant solutions according to the lacks and limitations present in society (Symaco and Tee, 2019; Severino-González et al., 2020). Finally, the above is linked to USR and sustainability, materializing through pro-environmental education in correspondence with the SDG goals (Caplow, 2019; Zanellato and

Tiron-Tudor, 2021; Romero-Argueta et al., 2020).

# Territorial Social Responsibility and Integral Education

Territorial social responsibility (TSR) seeks to improve the quality of life of both people, the relationships between them and the organizations of the same territory. That is why it is necto respect human essary dignity (Rusciano et al., 2019; Bataglin and Kruglianskas, 2022; Wirvomartono, 2022). In addition, said term has been linked to actions related to sustainable development, social and economic sustainability, and even education for sustainability and environmental education. Likewise, the above, due to the contributions, generates the values that constitute people's freedoms through the comprehensive understanding of the implications that citizenship possesses. Hence, TSR can respond to new theoretical and practical approaches (Ünal and Kaygın, 2020; Fernández-Pérez and Peña-García, 2021; Kang and Hong, 2021; Liu et al., 2022). Therefore, it is necessary the development of cognitive efforts that contribute to models and tools for value creation according to the new demands of an increasingly complex, uncertain, and dynamic environment (Fulco et al., 2021).

Conversely, according to Gaete-Ouezada (2021), TRS implies the understanding of higher education as a public good whose expression is considered a human right that must be protected and promoted by national and international organizations (Sarmiento-Peralta et al., 2021; Bataglin and Kruglianskas, 2022). Thus, in this regard, the construction of socially responsible territories is thanks to the capacity of HEIs to articulate a space where learning, valuing, harmonizing, and transforming with and through the experiences of the inhabitants of the territories themselves (Grimaldo, 2017; Laranja and Pinto, 2022).

TSR can be measured through its economic, environmental, and social impacts (Escobar *et al.*, 2021). For this reason, policies and strategies linked to sustainability and implemented by higher education institutions contribute comprehensively and harmoniously to the growth and prosperity of communities (Voegtlin and Scherer, 2017; Ali *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, this situation should propitiate a student-centered education for awareness and sensitization of the problems present in the social fabric, which fosters empathy, solidarity, respect, dignity, and altruism (Solis-Espallargas and Barreto-Tovar, 2020; Severino-González et al., 2021).

Additionally, education in values allows a better understanding of the territories and can be developed through testimonial education and environmental education for the development of principles based on social responsibility. Also, this contributes to the sense of citizenship and participation of future professionals (Severino-González et al., 2019b; and Hong, Kang 2021). Subsequently, this could motivate the materialization of practices that seek to meet the needs of the stakeholders that integrate the educational communities. In this respect, it is fundamental the integral education based on values such as empathy and solidarity (Martí-Noguera et al., 2018; Lochner, 2021). In essence, this way, the development of communities is achieved. At the same time, it contributes to the fulfillment of the SDGs (Alm et al., 2022).

#### Conclusions

The study of USR and environmental education, as a policy articulator can install socially responsible commitments from the SDGs in HEIs, allows us to indicate that social responsibility from the SDGs should consider the link between USR and environmental education. Likewise, it is essential to determine the implications of the training of socially responsible professionals, characterized by Empathy and solidarity in relation to Respect and Dignity, Freedom and Citizenship, and Environment, which could lead to the definition of new principles and values linked to sustainability and social responsibility.

Therefore, it is in this instance where the socially responsible and sustainable management becomes relevant due to the implications that it has in the context in which they are developed. Consequently, all of which, it is based on the various aspects considered by the USR in terms of the impacts that are generated in correspondence to the substantive functions of HEIs.

The success of the USR strategies is the product of the coherent and consistent implementation of actions linked to the substantive functions of the HEIs. Henceforth, those that are adequately designed through transversal participation, which is sustained through the structure of the universities. On this basis, the involvement of all actors in the educational communities is necessary.

The development of policies and strategies linked to the third mission of universities contributes to values education, USR, and environmental education as they seek to contribute to society through the installation of challenges related to ethical and socially responsible competencies. Likewise, these can contribute to the welfare and progress of society. Correspondingly, they could be promoted through comprehensive teaching and learning processes where environmental and social awareness and sensitization are installed. Furthermore, they consider empathy and solidarity between people and the environment in general.

It is necessary to know the theoretical implications and practical actions that can be used for the development of an institutional culture based on USR. Regarding limitations of this study, it is the lack of a bibliometric and thematic analysis. In this sense, future research could apply the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses Population, (PRISMA), Intervention, Comparision and Outcome (PICO) on socially responsible and ethically pro-environmental policies. Finally, these lead to organizational behaviors and decisions where respect, dignity, freedom, and citizenship prevail.

#### REFERENCES

- Acuña-Moraga O, Salazar-Botello CM, Muñoz-Jara YA, Severino-González P, Carriel-Baez, LA (2022) Responsabilidad social universitaria y satisfacción laboral: percepción de profesores de cátedra en una universidad estatal y pública de Chile. *Interciencia* 47: 568-575.
- Adel H, Zeinhom G, Younis R (2021) From university social-responsibility to social-innovation strategy for quality accreditation and sustainable competitive advantage during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences 4*: 410-437.
- Ali M, Mustapha I, Osman S, Hassan U (2021) University social responsibility: A review of conceptual evolution and its thematic analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production 286*: 124931.
- Alm K, Beery T, Eiblmeier D, Fahmy T (2022) Students' learning sustainability-implicit, explicit or non-existent: a case study approach on students' key competencies addressing the SDGs in HEI program. *International Journal* of Sustainability in Higher Education 23: 60-84.
- Alonso A, Elías-Ortega Á, Arcos-Alonso A (2020) Intergenerational service-learning, Sustainability and University social responsibility: A pilot study. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences* 15: 1629-1641.
- Ayala-Rodríguez N, Barreto I, Rozas G, Castro A, Moreno S (2019) Social transcultural representations about the concept of university social responsibility. *Studies in higher education* 44: 245-259.
- Baker C, Clayton S, Bragg E (2021) Educating for resilience: Parent and teacher perceptions

of children's emotional needs in response to climate change. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 687-705.

- Bataglin J, Kruglianskas I (2022) Social Innovation: Field Analysis and Gaps for Future Research. Sustainability 14: 1153.
- Bertossi A, Marangon F (2022) A literature review on the strategies implemented by higher education institutions from 2010 to 2020 to foster pro-environmental behavior of students. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education 23*: 522-547.
- Bosio E, Schattle H (2023) Ethical global citizenship education: From neoliberalism to a values-based pedagogy. *Prospects* 53: 287-297.
- Caplow S (2019) The presentation of environmental values, beliefs, and norms in live animal interpretive experiences. *Environmental Education Research* 25: 1158-1173.
- Carlotto I, Debrah J, Dinis M (2022) Social Responsibility and Bioethics in Higher Education: Transversal Dialogues. In Sustainable Policies and Practices in Energy, Environment and Health Research. Springer, Cham. pp. 277-286.
- Chan G (2021) Stakeholder Management Strategies: The Special Case of Universities. International Education Studies 14: 12-26.
- Chen C, Vanclay F (2021) Transnational universities, host communities and local residents: social impacts, university social responsibility and campus sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education* 22: 88-107.
- Coelho M, Menezes I (2020) University social responsibility as a driving force of change: students' perceptions beyond the ivory tower. *On the Horizon 28*: 93-100.
- Coelho M, Menezes I (2021) University Social Responsibility, Service Learning, and Students' Personal, Professional, and Civic Education. *Frontiers in Psychology 12*: 617300.
- Ennes M, Lawson D, Stevenson K, Peterson M, Jones M (2021) It's about time: perceived barriers to in-service teacher climate change professional development. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 762-778.
- Escobar D, Terradellas M, Benito H (2021) Measuring Territorial Social Responsibility and Sustainability Using the EFQM Excellence Model. *Sustainability* 13: 2153.
- Fanea-Ivanovici M, Baber H (2022) Sustainability at Universities as a Determinant of Entrepreneurship for Sustainability. *Sustainability* 14: 454.
- Fernández-Pérez V, Peña-García A (2021) The Contribution of Peripheral Large Scientific Infrastructures to Sustainable Development from a Global and Territorial Perspective: The Case of IFMIF-DONES. *Sustainability* 13: 454.
- Flores-Fernandez L, Severino-González P, Sarmiento-Peralta G, Sánchez-Henríquez J (2022) Responsabilidad social universitaria: Diseño y validación de escala desde la perspectiva de los estudiantes de Perú. *Formación* Universitaria 15: 87-96.
- Fulco I, Carrubbo L, Megaro A, Liani F (2021) 'La Città ideale'as a Set of New Institutionalized Resources Integration Practices—Insights from a Pilot Project of the

Sicily Region. Journal of Creating Value 7: 189-205.

- Gaete-Quezada R (2021) Influencia supranacional de la UNESCO en la educación superior Latinoamericana en el nuevo Milenio. *Revista Española de Educación Comparada* 37: 63-88.
- García-Gutiérrez J, Corrales-Gaitero C (2021) Las políticas supranacionales de Educación Superior ante la tercera misión de la Universidad: el caso del aprendizaje-servicio. *Revista Española de Educación Comparada* 37: 256-280.
- García-Gutiérrez J, Ruiz-Corbella M, Manjarrés Riesco Á (2021) Virtual Service-Learning in Higher Education. A theoretical framework for enhancing its development. *Frontiers in Education 5*: 630804.
- Gómez-Marín N, Cara-Jiménez J, Bernardo-Sánchez A, Álvarez-de-Prado L, Ortega-Fernández F (2022) Sustainable knowledge management in academia and research organizations in the innovation context. *The International Journal of Management Education 20*: 100601.
- Gómez-Olmedo A, Valor C, Carrero I (2020) Mindfulness in education for sustainable development to nurture socioemotional competencies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environmental Education Research 26*: 1527-1555.
- Grimaldo H (2017) La Responsabilidad Social Territorial: aprendizaje, armonización y transformación. *Revista Educación Superior y Sociedad* 26: 175-199.
- Huang Y, Do M (2021) Review of empirical research on university social responsibility. *International Journal of Educational Management* 35: 549-563.
- Hurlimann A, Cobbinah P, Bush J, March A (2021) Is climate change in the curriculum? An analysis of Australian urban planning degrees. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 970-991.
- Jelinkova M, Tetrevova L, Vavra J, Munzarova S (2021) The Sharing Economy in the Context of Sustainable Development and Social Responsibility: The Example of the Czech Republic. *Sustainability* 13: 9886.
- Jones E, Leask B, Brandenburg U, de Wit H (2021) Global Social Responsibility and the Internationalisation of Higher Education for Society. *Journal of Studies in International Education 25*: 330-347.
- Kang J, Hong J (2021) Framing effect of environmental cost information on environmental awareness among high school students. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 936-953.
- Kaukko M, Kemmis S, Heikkinen H, Kiilakoski T, Haswell N (2021) Learning to survive amidst nested crises: can the coronavirus pandemic help US change educational practices to prepare for the impending eco-crisis? *Environmental Education Research* 27: 1559-1573.
- Kee Y, Li C, Wang J, Kailani M (2018) Motivations for Volunteering and Its Associations Life Satisfaction: A Latent Profile Approach. *Psychological Reports 121*: 932-951.
- Kieżel M, Piotrowski P, Wiechoczek J (2021) Perception of Social Responsibility Strategy

in Higher Education: Empirical Study in Poland. Sustainability 13: 9417.

- Kioupi V, Voulvoulis N (2019) Education for sustainable development: A systemic framework for connecting the SDGs to educational outcomes. *Sustainability 11*: 6104.
- Laranja M, Pinto H (2022) Transformation for a Post-Pandemic World: Exploring Social Innovations in Six Domains. *Knowledge 2*: 167-184.
- Larrán M, Andrades F (2017) Analyzing the literature on university social responsibility: A review of selected higher education journals. *Higher Education Quarterly* 71: 302-319.
- Leal W, Frankenberger F, Salvia A, Azeiteiro U, Alves F, Castro P, Will M, Platje J, Lovren V, Brandli L, Price E, Doni F, Mifsud M, Ávila L (2021) A framework for the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals in university programmes. *Journal of Cleaner Production 299*: 126915.
- Lim W (2022) The Sustainability Pyramid: A Hierarchical Approach to Greater Sustainability and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals with Implications for Marketing Theory, Practice, and Public Policy. *Australasian Marketing Journal 30*: 142-150.
- Liu S, Zhang J, Zhang J, Guo Y (2022) Simultaneously tackling ecological degradation and poverty challenges: Evidence from desertified areas in northern China. *Science of The Total Environment 815*: 152927.
- Lochner J (2021) Educators' intentions for learning in Virtual School Garden Exchanges: a comparison with the aims of Education for Sustainable Development. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 1172-1191.
- Makrakis V, Kostoulas-Makrakis N (2021) Responsibility and Co-Responsibility in Light of COVID-19 and Education for Sustainability through an Aristotelian Lens. *Sustainability and Climate Change 14*: 158-165.
- Martínez-Usarralde M-J, Lloret-Catalá C, Mas-Gil S (2017) Responsabilidad Social Universitaria (RSU): Principios para una universidad sostenible, cooperativa y democrática desde el diagnóstico participativo de su alumnado. Archivos Analíticos de Políticas Educativas 25: 75.
- Martí-Noguera J, Calderón A, Fernández-Godenzi A (2018) La responsabilidad social universitaria en Iberoamérica: análisis de las legislaciones de Brasil, España y Perú. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación Superior 9: 107-124.
- Martí-Noguera J, Gaete-Quezada R (2019) Construcción de un sistema de Educación Superior socialmente responsable en América Latina: Avances y desafíos. *Education Policy Analysis Archives 27*: 1-25.
- Meseguer-Sánchez V, Abad-Segura E, Belmonte-Ureña L, Molina-Moreno V (2020) Examining the research evolution on the socio-economic and environmental dimensions on university social responsibility. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17: 4729.
- Moghadam M, Govindan K, Dahooie J, Mahvelati S, Meidute-Kavaliauskiene I (2021) Designing a model to estimate the level of university social responsibility based on

rough sets. Journal of Cleaner Production 324: 129178

- Mónus F (2021) Environmental perceptions and pro-environmental behavior–comparing different measuring approaches. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 132-156.
- Munkebye E, Scheie E, Gabrielsen A, Jordet A, Misund S, Nergård T, Øyehaug A (2020) Interdisciplinary primary school curriculum units for sustainable development. *Environmental Education Research 26*: 795-811.
- Nardo M, Codreanu G, Roberto F (2021) Universities' Social Responsibility through the Lens of Strategic Planning: A Content Analysis. Administrative Sciences 11: 139.
- O'Brien E, Ilić B, Veidemane A, Dusi D, Farnell T, Schmidt N (2022) Towards a European framework for community engagement in higher education–a case study analysis of European universities. *International Journal* of Sustainability in Higher Education 23: 815-830.
- Petrova M, Popova L, Dejniak D (2020) Children's University activities as implementation of the third mission of higher education institution. *Strategies for Policy in Science and Education 28*: 161-171.
- Pirchio S, Passiatore Y, Panno A, Cipparone M, Carrus G (2021) The effects of contact with nature during outdoor environmental education on students' wellbeing, connectedness to nature and pro-sociality. *Frontiers in Psychology 12*: 648458.
- Rababah A, Nikitina N, Grebennikova V, Gardanova Z, Zekiy A, Ponkratov V, Bashkirova N, Kuznetsov N, Volkova T, Vasiljeva M, Ivleva M, Elyakova I (2021) University social responsibility during the COVID-19 pandemic: Universities' case in the BRICS countries. Sustainability 13: 7035.
- Reid A, Dillon J, Ardoin N, Ferreira J (2021) Scientists' warnings and the need to reimagine, recreate, and restore environmental education. *Environmental Education Research* 27: 783-795.
- Romero-Argueta J, Coreas-Flores E, Severino-González P (2020) Responsabilidad social del estudiante universitario en El Salvador: Género y territorio. *Revista de Ciencias Sociales 26*: 426-441.
- Rousell D (2020) Doing little justices: Speculative propositions for an immanent environmental ethics. *Environmental Education Research 26*: 1391-1405.
- Rubio-Rodríguez G, Blandón-López A (2021) El profesorado y la responsabilidad social universitaria: un análisis cualitativo de redes. *Formación Universitaria 14*: 3-12.
- Ruiz-Mora E, Cardona-Ramírez H (2020) ¿Cómo acompañar el discernimiento vocacional de jóvenes en el contexto postmoderno? *Albertus Magnus 11*: 125-147.
- Rusciano V, Scarpato D, Civero G (2019) Territorial social Responsibility: A Cluster Analysis on A Case Study. *Calitatea 20*: 543-548.
- Saari A, Mullen J (2020) Dark places: Environmental education research in a world of hyperobjects. *Environmental Education Research 26*: 1466-1478.

- Santos M, Mella Í, Naval C, Vázquez V (2021) The evaluation of social and professional life competences of university students through service-learning. *Frontiers in Education 6*: 606304.
- Sarmiento-Peralta G, Severino-González P, Santander-Ramírez V (2021) Responsabilidad social: voluntariado Universitario y comportamiento virtuoso. El caso de una ciudad de Perú. Formación Universitaria 14: 19-28
- Severino-González P, Acuña-Moraga O, Astete-Tapia K, Medel-Maturana J (2021) Responsabilidad social y consumo sustentable: el caso de estudiantes de formación superior de Talca (Chile). Información Tecnológica 32: 143-150.
- Severino-González P, Gallardo-Vázquez D, Ortuya-Poblete C, Romero-Argueta J, Tunjo-Buitrago E, Arenas-Torres F, Sarmiento-Peralta G (2022a) Social Responsibility: Sustainable Development Goals and COVID-19—Perception Scale of Students from Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 19: 5323.
- Severino-González P, Martín-Fiorino V, González-Soto N (2019a) Responsabilidad social. De la toma de decisiones a la educación del carácter: Percepciones de docentes y no docentes de un establecimiento educacional chileno. *Estudios sobre Educación* 37: 69-90.
- Severino-González P, Romero-Argueta J, Lira-Ramos H, Imperatore S, Ortiz-Medina I (2022b) Responsabilidad social universitaria y competencias socioemocionales. Escala de percepción de los estudiantes de El Salvador. *Interciencia 47*: 126-132.
- Severino-González P, Romero-Argueta J, Villalobos-Antúnez J, Garrido-Véliz V (2020) Social responsibility of higher education students. Motivations for its development in times of covid-19 in Chile and El Salvador. Utopía y Praxis Latinoamericana 25: 439-452.

- Severino-González P, Sarmiento-Peralta G, Santivañez S, Morales-Mejías Y (2023) Aprendizaje-servicio y responsabilidad social universitaria: percepción de estudiantes universitarios de Perú. Formación Universitaria 16: 1-10.
- Severino-González P, Villalobos, J, Chamali-Duarte N, Vergara-Piña G, González-Soto N (2019b) Social responsibility of university student and institutional educational policies. Recognizing the commitments to society. *Opción 35*: 1171-1197.
- Severo E, De Guimarães J, Dellarmelin M (2021) Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on environmental awareness, sustainable consumption and social responsibility: Evidence from generations in Brazil and Portugal. *Journal of Cleaner Production 286*: 124947.
- Sharma D, Sharma R (2019) A review literature on university social responsibility initiatives in the global context. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research 6*: 27-35.
- Solís-Espallargas C, Barreto-Tovar C (2020) La visión de la educación ambiental de estudiantes de maestría en pedagogía en el marco de la Cátedra de la Paz en Colombia. *Formación Universitaria 13*: 153-166.
- Sund P, Gericke N (2020) Teaching contributions from secondary school subject areas to education for sustainable development-a comparative study of science, social science and language teachers. Environmental Education Research 26: 772-794.
- Suryandari N, Endiana I, Dewi N, Yuesti A (2021) Implementation of Cycle Relations Model in Intake High College. Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences 48: 206-214.
- Symaco L, Tee M (2019) Social responsibility and engagement in higher education: Case of the ASEAN. International Journal of Educational Development 66: 184-192.
- Tetrevova L, Vavra J, Munzarova S (2021) Communication of socially-responsible

activities by higher education institutions. *Sustainability 13*: 483.

- Ünal F, Kaygın H (2020) Citizenship education for adults for sustainable democratic societies. *Sustainability* 12: 56.
- Usak M, Hsieh M, Chan Y (2021) A concretizing research on making higher-education sustainability count. *Sustainability* 13: 2724.
- Vallaeys F, Álvarez J (2019) Hacia una Definición Latinoamericana de Responsabilidad Social Universitaria. Aproximación a las Preferencias Conceptuales de los Universitarios. *Educación XX1 22*: 93-116.
- Vallaeys F, Oliveira M, Crissien T, Solano D, Suarez A (2022) State of the art of university social responsibility: a standardized model and compared self-diagnosis in Latin America. International Journal of Educational Management 36: 325-340.
- Voegtlin C, Scherer A (2017) Responsible innovation and the innovation of responsibility: Governing sustainable development in a globalized world. *Journal of Business Ethics* 143: 227-243.
- Wided R (2020) University social responsibility and sustainable development awareness: The mediating effect of corporate social responsibility case of Qassim University. *Journal of Social Science Studies* 7: 60-75.
- Wiryomartono B (2022) Sustainability and the Built Environment: The Search for Ethics Based on Environmental Awareness and Social Responsibility. In Architectural Humanities in Progress. Springer, Cham. pp. 229-244.
- Zanellato G, Tiron-Tudor A (2021) Toward a Sustainable University: Babes-Bolyai University Goes Green. Administrative Sciences 11: 133.
- Zhou C, Zhang D, He X (2021) Transportation Accessibility Evaluation of Educational Institutions Conducting Field Environmental Education Activities in Ecological Protection Areas: A Case Study of Zhuhai City. Sustainability 13: 9392.

#### RESPONSABILIDAD SOCIAL UNIVERSITARIA Y EDUCACIÓN AMBIENTAL. RETOS PARA LA FORMACIÓN DE PROFESIONALES SOCIALMENTE RESPONSABLES

Pedro Severino-González, Dolores Gallardo-Vázquez, Héctor Saldía-Barahona, Tomy Villanueva-Arequipeño, Giusseppe Sarmiento-Peralta y José de Jesús Romero-Argueta

#### RESUMEN

El estudio de la responsabilidad social universitaria (RSU) muestra el vínculo que tiene la educación basada en valores en la formación de profesionales comprometidos con las necesidades de la sociedad, que incluye los desafíos de la sostenibilidad, la tercera misión de las universidades y la responsabilidad social territorial. Lo anterior significa que las casas de las Instituciones de Educación Superior (IES) deben aplicar innovaciones educativas basadas en las tensiones de la modernidad. El objetivo de este trabajo es analizar diversos desafíos que presenta la educación superior desde la perspectiva de las políticas institucionales como articuladora de estrategias que contribuyan a la formación de profesionales socialmente responsables. En este sentido, se proponen algunos valores para articular esfuerzos que contribuyan a la gestión universitaria, la educación en RSU y los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible (ODS).

#### RESPONSABILIDADE SOCIAL UNIVERSITÁRIA E EDUCAÇÃO AMBIENTAL. DESAFIOS PARA A FORMAÇÃO DE PROFISSIONAIS SOCIALMENTE RESPONSÁVEIS

Pedro Severino-González, Dolores Gallardo-Vázquez, Héctor Saldía-Barahona, Tomy Villanueva-Arequipeño, Giusseppe Sarmiento-Peralta e José de Jesús Romero-Argueta

#### RESUMO

O estúdio de responsabilidade social universitária (RSU) mostra o vínculo que tem a educação baseada em valores na formação de profissionais comprometidos com as necessidades da sociedade, que incluem os desafios de sustentabilidade, a terceira missão das universidades e a responsabilidade territorial social. O anterior significa que as casas das Instituições de Educação Superior (IES) devem aplicar inovações educativas baseadas nas tensões da modernidade. O objetivo deste trabalho é analisar diversos desafios que apresentam a educação superior desde a perspectiva das políticas institucionais como articuladora de estratégias que contribuem para a formação de profissionais socialmente responsáveis. Nesse sentido, são propostos alguns valores para esforços articulares que contribuem para a gestão universitária, a educação na RSU e os Metas de Desenvolvimento Sustentável (MDS).